Temperature and pH effects on biophysical and morphological properties of self-assembling peptide RADA16-I‡
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Abstract: It has been found that the self-assembling peptide RADA 16-I forms a β-sheet structure and self-assembles into nanofibers and scaffolds in favor of cell growth, hemostasis and tissue-injury repair. But its biophysical and morphological properties, especially for its β-sheet and self-assembling properties in heat- and pH-denatured conditions, remain largely unclear. In order to better understand and design nanobiomaterials, we studied the self-assembly behaviors of RADA16-I using CD and atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements in various pH and heat-denatured conditions. Here, we report that the peptide, when exposed to pH 1.0 and 4.0, was still able to assume a typical β-sheet structure and self-assemble into long nanofiber, although its β-sheet content was dramatically decreased by 10% in a pH 1.0 solution. However, the peptide, when exposed to pH 13.0, drastically lost its β-sheet structure and assembled into different small-sized globular aggregates. Similarly, the peptide, when heat-denatured from 25 to 70 °C, was still able to assume a typical β-sheet structure with 46% content, but self-assembled into small-sized globular aggregates at much higher temperature. Titration experiments showed that the peptide RADA16-I exists in three types of ionic species: acidic (fully protonated peptide), zwitterionic (electrically neutral peptide carrying partial positive and negative charges) and basic (fully deprotonated peptide) species, called ‘super ions’. The unordered structure and β-turn of these ‘super ions’ via hydrogen or ionic bonds, and heat Brownian motion under the above denatured conditions would directly affect the stability of the β-sheet and nanofibers. These results help us in the design of future nanobiomaterials, such as biosensors, based on β-sheets and environmental changes. These results also help understand the pathogenesis of the β-sheet-mediated neuronal diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease and the mechanism of hemostasis. Copyright © 2008 European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

The design, synthesis and fabrication of biological nanomaterials, such as nanofibers and scaffolds based on a ‘bottom-up’ self-assembly peptide system, have attracted a great deal of attention from biomaterials and nanobiotechnology researchers for their potential applications in nanobiotechnology and biomedical engineering [1–21]. For example, the 16-residue peptide, RADARADARADARADA (RADA16-I), was designed and synthesized by mimicking the amphiphilic segment of EAEKAKAEAEKAKA (EAKA 16-II) of the naturally occurring yeast Zuo1 protein. This ionic-complementary peptide contains a regular repeat of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids, including positively and negatively charged residues being arranged alternatively (Figure 1).

RADA 16-I is able to self-assemble into scaffolds, composed of interwoven nanofibers with ~100-nm mesh pores, in a way that mimics naturally occurring extracellular matrix (ECM), thus supporting cell and tissue growth, and consequently tissue-injury repair. For example, this peptide matrix has been shown to support rat hippocampal cell cultures, allowing for proliferation and differentiation, as well as synaptogenesis, in the RADA scaffold [5]. In addition, the nanomaterial has also been reported to repair the optic tract in Chinese hamsters and act as an effective hemostatic medicine [22].
Self-assembly is a spontaneous process by which many individual molecules are associated into a coherent and organized structure under thermodynamic equilibrium conditions by noncovalent interaction, such as ionic and hydrogen bonding [23–38]. The peptide RADA 16-I forms a stable β-sheet structure in water and further self-assembles spontaneously into three-dimensional scaffolds in the presence of monovalent cations or physiological conditions [23]. Although this peptide has been widely used for cell- and tissue-related work, its biophysical properties and self-assembly mechanism have not yet been fully characterized. In this study, we examined the biophysical and morphological properties of its β-sheet structure and its self-assembled nanofibers under pH- and heat-denatured conditions using CD and atomic force microscopy (AFM) techniques. Our data demonstrated that peptide RADA 16-I self-assembled into very strong β-sheet structures, which were either fully or partially maintained under most extreme pH- and heat-denatured conditions. The β-sheet structure, after being extremely heat-denatured, was able to reassemble into the β-sheet structure. Peptide RADA 16-I behaved like a ‘super ion’ interacting with itself via intra- and intersalt bridges to stabilize the β-sheet and the self-assembled nanofiber structure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Peptide**

Peptide RADA16-I (PuraMatrix™, CH₃CO–NH–[Arg-Ala-Asp-Ala]₄–CONH₂, 1% w/v; theoretical mass = 1712.9) was purchased from 3DM Inc (Cambridge, MA), stored at 4°C, and used without further purification. Peptide stock solutions were made of 0.1% (w/v) with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ) and stored at 4°C before use. For measurement of active isoelectric point (pI), 0.1 m HCl and/or 0.1 m NaOH were used and mixed with the peptide stock solution to give a final peptide concentration of 0.17 mg/ml (100 µM). An aliquot of 600 µl was tested using the Mettler Toledo pH meter SG2 for pH measurement. The samples were incubated at room temperature overnight prior to AFM imaging and CD measurement.

**Heparin-Treated Blood of Rabbit**

An aliquot of 2 ml fresh blood harvested from adult New Zealand white rabbit ear artery with about 3 kg in weight (located at the Center of Laboratory Animals of Clinical Medicine School of Sichuan University) was subsequently mixed with an aliquot of 2 µl heparin (3000 U/ml). The heparin-treated (18 U/ml) blood of rabbit was stored at 4°C before use. For AFM, half of the heparin-treated (18 U/ml) blood of rabbit was mixed with half of the peptide RADA16-I (10 mg/ml).

**Measurement of Apparent pK1, pK2, and Active Isoelectric Point (pI) of Peptide RADA16-I**

The pH of the peptide solutions was measured by a glass microelectrode, which is attached in the Mettler Toledo pH meter SG2. Before use, the pH meter was calibrated by a 3-point calibration with attached pH 4.01, 9.21, and 10.01 calibration buffers according to commercial protocols. Aliquots of 600 µl (100 µM) peptide RADA16-I aqueous solution were applied for measurement and an appropriate amount of acid (0.1 m HCl) or base (0.1 m NaOH) was added each time with a pipette, followed by microvortex oscillator for about 5 s. The pH determination was performed with pH meter at least five times with ±0.1 pH variation at room temperature (25°C) and the curve of pH as the function of cumulative molarity of acid
or base was determined. The apparent pK$_1$, pK$_2$, and active
pH of peptide RADA16-I were all determined, according to the
related formulae described in textbook.

CD Measurement

All CD spectroscopy was performed on the peptide (100 µm)
solutions (pure water and 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH) that were
incubated at 4 °C overnight. CD data were gathered on an Aviv
model 400 spectrophotometer with 0.001–0.2 millidegrees
sensitivity and a 2-mm pathlength quartz cuvette (Hellma
Standard Cuvet 110QG (Quartz Suprasil)). The supported
parameters: $\lambda = 260–190$ nm, $\Delta \lambda$ (bandwidth) = 1 nm, time
constant = 1 s, using 3-times scans for average. Be sure to
check the baseline CD signals of the empty cuvette and record
a baseline spectrum of the cuvette containing only pure water
under identical conditions.

CD data were corrected by conversion to mean-residue
effectiveness to account for slight differences in molecular weight
and concentration. The effects of pH and temperature on peptide
structure were determined by taking CD scans at different pH (1.0, 4.0, 7.0, and 9.5) and different temperatures
ranging from 25 to 80 °C.

The position and the relative magnitude of the minimum-
mean-residue effectiveness were monitored to evaluate the
$\beta$-sheet structures and their relative $\beta$-sheet content of peptide
RADA16-I at various environments.

In order to fully investigate the effect of pH and tempera-
ture on secondary structures and self-assembling nanofiber
formation of peptide RADA16-I, a free software CDPro (http://
/lamar.colostate.edu/~sreeram/CDPro/main.html) was
applied to estimate secondary-structure contents. The pep-
tide secondary-structure fractions at various environments
were calculated by the modified Contin method (CONTINLL
program) with comparison to selected reference proteins set
(IIBasis7 (SDP48), $\lambda = 240–190$ nm). The calculated CD spec-
tral data were compared with the experimental CD spectrum
with little difference (data not shown), and the amount of the
root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and the normalized-
mean-square deviation (NMRSD) between the both are close
to 0.1 and zero (Tables 2 and 3), respectively, suggesting that
CONTINLL program and SDP48 fitting are suitable for CD
analyses of peptide RADA16-I.

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

An aliquot of approximately 5 µl of the peptide (0.17 mg/ml)
solution, heparin-treated (18 U/ml) blood, or heparin-treated
(18 U/ml) blood mixture (1:1 v/v) with peptide RADA16-I
(10 mg/ml), was evenly placed on the surface (about
10 mm x 10 mm square or 15-mm diameter) of a freshly
cleaved mica surface. Each sample was left on the mica for
about 30 s and then rinsed with aliquots of 100 µl of Milli-Q
water to remove unattached peptides or blood cells. The sample
on the mica surface was then air-dried for AFM observation.

AFM was performed with a SPI4000 Probe Station & SPA-
400 SPM Unit (Seiko Instruments Inc., Chiba, Japan) using
the dynamic force mode. The images utilized a 20-nm scanner
(400) and an Olympus Si-DP20 microcantilever as well as a
Si tip of radius 10 nm, rectangular base 200.00 µm, with tip
height of 10.00 µm and spring constant $K_s$ of 12.00 N/m. The
cantilever’s free resonance frequency (Fo) was 127.00 kHz.

RESULTS

Measurement of the Apparent pK1, pK2, and the
Isoelectric Point (pI) of Peptide RADA16-I

The molecular model of peptide RADA16-I is shown in
Figure 1. This peptide contains four acidic residues of
aspartic acid (pI = 2.77, $pK_a = 3.65$), four alkaline
residues of arginine (pI = 10.76, $pK_a = 12.48$), and
eight alanine (pI = 6.00) residues. The titration exper-
iment showed that this peptide has a similar titration
curve, when compared to that of a general nonpolar
amino acid. An abrupt change in its apparent pI of
about 7.20 was detected (Figure 2). Further analysis
indicated that the apparent pK of four acidic aspar-
tic acid residues was defined as pK$_1$ = 1.79, which is
much lower than $pK_a$ (3.65) of aspartic acid with about
100 times active acid ion concentration increase. The
apparent pK$_2$ of four alkaline arginine residues was
about 12.58 with little alkaline enhancement mainly
because of high stability of the strong basic guani-
dinium substituent. The different ions states (Table 1,
and Figure 3) of peptide RADA16-I are distinguished by
the apparent pK1, pK2, and pI.
Figure 2  Active isoelectric point (pI) of peptide RADA16-I. The curve of the pH as the function of corresponding cumulative molarity of acid or base was determined. The apparent pK₁ = 1.79 of side chains carboxyl (–COOH) of aspartic acid residues was extracted at the inflexion of the basic titration curve(left) and the apparent pK₂ = 12.58 of arginine residues side chains at the inflexion of the acidic titration curve(right). The active pI = 7.20 of peptide RADA16-I can be calculated from the formulation of \( pI = \frac{pK_1 + pK_2}{2} \). This figure is available in colour online at www.interscience.wiley.com/journal/jpepsci.

Effect of pH on Nanofiber Formation

The pI of peptide RADA 16-I was about 7.20 and the \( \beta \)-sheet structure would be impaired in the extreme pHs. Surprisingly, CD measurements (Figure 4) showed that peptide RADA16-I (100 \( \mu \)M) was able to adopt its typical \( \beta \)-sheet structure (minimum at 217–218 nm and maximum at 195–206 nm) in pH = 1.0–9.5 solutions, which could also be evidenced by 44.7–54.3% high \( \beta \)-sheet contents (Table 2, S(r) + S(d)), according to CDPro software analyses. However, when the pH was changed from 4.0 to 9.5, there were about 10.5% decrease of the \( \beta \)-sheet contents with about 15.1% increase of the unordered structure content to almost zero contents (Table 2, H(r) + H(d)) maintaining of \( \alpha \)-helix. These data indicated that the \( \beta \)-sheet structure was impaired to a different extent at different pH values and its \( \beta \)-sheet content was more compromised at the extreme pH values, respectively.

AFM morphological studies demonstrated that the nanofibers in pH 1.0 (Figure 5(A–C)) and 4.0 (Figure 5(D–F)) solutions were about 17.5 ± 1.13 nm in width, 1.4 ± 0.36 nm in height, and 1108 ± 184 nm in length, which are consistent with previous reports [1–6]. Moreover, smaller sized ∼100–500 nm nanofibers (Figure 6(A)) or aggregates (Figure 6(B–E)) have been detected in pH 4.5–9.5 solutions. Furthermore, even smaller globular aggregates of ∼50 nm widths (Figure 6(F)) have also been observed in pH 13.0 condition. Usually, a good \( \beta \)-sheet structure helps peptides to self-assemble into nanofiber, but surprisingly, peptide RADA16-I in pH 9.5 and 13.0 with certain \( \beta \)-sheet structure did not form good nanofiber (Table 2, Figure 6), strongly indicating that the \( \beta \)-sheet structure is essential but not sufficient for fiber self-assembly. These data also indicated that higher \( \beta \)-sheet contents and lower unordered structure are required for nanofiber formation.

Table 1  Ion states in peptide RADA16-I solution at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH(pK)</th>
<th>[(III)]/[II]</th>
<th>[(III)]/[III]</th>
<th>Major ions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>H⁺, I⁺ &gt; II⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>H⁺, I⁺ ≈ II⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>160/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>H⁺, I⁺ &lt; II⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Isoelectric point</td>
<td>OH⁻, II⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1260/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>OH⁻, II⁻⁻⁻ &gt; &gt; III⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>OH⁻, II⁺⁺⁺ ≈ III⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>OH⁻, II⁺⁺⁺ &lt; III⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Estimated structure fractions of peptide RADA16-I in various pH values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>RMSD/NRMSD</th>
<th>Secondary-structure fractions (%)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H(r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH = 4.0</td>
<td>0.113/0.054</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH = 1.0</td>
<td>0.041/0.039</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH = 7.0</td>
<td>0.111/0.160</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH = 9.5</td>
<td>0.087/0.124</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation(+/−)b</td>
<td>0.0/1.1</td>
<td>0.2/1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Including six types of secondary structures: H(r) is regular \( \alpha \)-helix; H(d) is distorted \( \alpha \)-helix; S(r) is regular \( \beta \)-strand; S(d) is distorted \( \beta \)-strand (a partial, but far from complete distortion of the regular \( \beta \)-strand because of the lack of some hydrogen bonds); Turn is \( \beta \)-turn structure; Unrd is unordered structure.

b The maximum increase (+) and decrease (−) of secondary-structure fractions (%) compared with those at pH = 4.0 (pure water, 25 °C).
**Figure 3** Schematic ionization equations of peptide RADA16-I in aqueous solution. The ion (I) is a medium binary acid of peptide, containing the carboxylic methyl substitute and the conjugate acid of the strong basic guanidinium substitute with fully positive charges. The ion (II) contained alternating positively and negatively charges at peptide chains and (III) was mainly negatively charged, derived from the first ionization and second ionization of (I). In the ionic species (I), (II), and (III), the repeated chemical units of protonated or deprotonated peptide RADA16-I were inserted in the black square bracket. The ion-complementary interaction of the intrapeptide (II) may be enough to help intrasalt bridge formation (IV).

**Effect of Heat-Denaturation on Nanofiber Formation**

In order to further confirm the above result, we heat-denatured the peptide solutions up to 80°C and subsequently detected its β-sheet structure changes using circular dichroism (Figure 7). We found that peptide RADA16-I remained in a β-sheet structure (minimum at 215–216 nm and maximum at 195–196 nm) from 25 to 70°C with 38.6–54.3% high contents (Table 3, S(r) + S(d)), but dramatically decreased 11.3%

Copyright © 2008 European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Figure 4 The effect of pH on secondary structures of peptide RADA16-I (100 µM) at various pH values. All the spectra were recorded at 25°C after incubation overnight at 4°C and peptide RADA16-I adopted typical β-sheet structure (minimum at 217–218 nm, maximum at 195–206 nm) at pH 1.0–9.5. The color patterns and pH values are shown in the frame.

β-sheet content and increased 14.6% unordered structure content at 80°C. Interestingly, the RADA 16-I, which lost some of its β-sheet at increasing temperature, was able to regain its β-sheet structure to a significant level immediately back to 25°C (46.7%) and after three days incubation at 25°C (data not shown). These data indicate that the thermal denaturation might have only destroyed those ionic and hydrogen bonds responsible for secondary-structure interaction but did not impair covalent interactions of the backbone main chains. Thus, the β-sheet structure and some good nanofibers can be regained after a slow renaturation process (Figure 8(E) and (F)), although some aggregates still remained (Figure 8(B–E), arrow). The AFM examination showed that heat-denatured peptides were unable to form good nanofiber immediately after heat-denaturation (Figure 8(B–D)), though it contained a good number of β-sheet structures (Figure 7, Table 3), and again confirmed that β-sheet structure was essential, but not sufficient, for nanofiber self-assembly. Higher β-sheet contents or some other physical or chemical complimentary factors are required for nanofiber formation.

Peptide RADA16-I, under moderate pH and heat-denatured conditions, forms a very strong β-sheet structure and self-assembles into long nanofibers and 3D scaffolds. It is known that blood clotting depends on stable and insoluble fibrin fibers, which further mechanically interact with blood cells for hemostasis [22,40,41]. We then tested if the RADA16-I fibers can mimic the naturally occurring process of blood clotting by examining heparin-treated blood (pH = 7.2–7.4) of New Zealand white rabbit ear artery in vitro treated with peptide RADA16-I (Figure 9). When 10 mg/ml peptide RADA16-I was added into the blood, the blood cells such as red blood cells (Figure 9(A–C), at black arrow) and white blood cells (Figure 9(A–C), with star) were almost covered by 3D nanofibers matrix of peptide RADA16-I (Figure 9(D)) with only a few emerged, as shown in Figure 9(D) and (E) with black arrow, indicating peptide matrix mechanically netting blood cells. The practically occurring blood hydrogel displayed a jelly morphology and blood flowing out has been

Figure 5 The typical AFM morphological images of 100 µM peptide RADA16-I in pH = 1.0 (A–C) and 4.0 (D–F), large field images (A), (D) and higher magnification of the images inside the black frame (A), (B), (D), and (E). The good 3D nanofiber scaffolds of self-assembling peptide were mediated with decreasing pH values by adding 0.1M HCl and the typical nanofiber was 17.5 ± 1.13 nm in width, 1.4 ± 0.36 nm in height, and 1108 ± 184 nm in length with 95% confidence. These data are consistent with the previous report.
obstructed because of the formation of network between the peptide-assembled fibers and the cells (white arrow in Figure 9(F)).

**DISCUSSION**

We examined the pH and temperature-induced secondary-structure transition of the self-assembling peptide RADA 16-I in solution and observed the good stability of the \( \beta \)-sheet structure at both extreme and moderate ranges of pH and temperature. The peptide lost its \( \beta \)-sheet content at the extreme conditions but held it together in moderate conditions. The morphological properties of peptide RADA 16-I underwent dramatic changes, ranging from the long, extensively interwoven 3D nanofibers, to shorter, less interwoven 3D nanofibers, then to small globular aggregated nanostructures. The hydrogen and ionic bonding among inter- and intra-peptides and water are believed to play a critical role in maintaining the \( \beta \)-sheet structure stability.

**Morphological Properties and Ionization of Peptide RADA 16-I in Aqueous Solution**

The amphiphilic RADA 16-I peptide is able to exist in three different ionic states: acidic, zwitterionic and basic. In water solution, the peptide had \( pK_a \) 1.79, \( p\bar{I} \) 7.20 and \( pK_a 12.58 \) and it contained different charges at various pH values (shown in Table 1). Figure 3 shows the molecular species \( [\mathbf{l}] \), which is a medium binary acid of peptide. In pH 1.0 acidic environment, peptide RADA 16-I was mainly positively charged because of arginine residues and aspartic acid residues being protonated. It is possible that the backbone of the peptide chain RADA 16-I would be mutually repulsive and behave like a ‘rigid chain’, with a little decrease of the characteristic signal of the \( \beta \)-sheet (Figure 4, pH = 1.0, and Table 2). However, the unordered structure and \( \beta \)-turn of peptide RADA16-I, having stable ‘rigid chain’, should be beneficial for nanofiber formation. On the other hand, nucleation or seeding processes would easily occur and end
Table 3  Estimated structure fractions of heat-denatured peptide RADA16-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>RMSD/NRMSD</th>
<th>H(r)</th>
<th>H(d)</th>
<th>S(r)</th>
<th>S(d)</th>
<th>Turn</th>
<th>Unrd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.113/0.054</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.228/0.045</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.185/0.039</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.143/0.038</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.160/0.080</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.151/0.124</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation(+/−)b</td>
<td>19.1/1.3</td>
<td>1.4/1.9</td>
<td>0.0/12.9</td>
<td>0.0/2.8</td>
<td>1.1/5.9</td>
<td>14.6/5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back to 25</td>
<td>0.114/0.059</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Including six types of secondary structures: H(r) is regular α-helix; H(d) is distorted α-helix; S(r) is regular β-strand; S(d) is distorted β-strand (a partial, but far from complete distortion of the regular β-strand because of the lack of some hydrogen bonds); Turn is β-turn structure; Unrd is unordered structure.

b The maximum increase (+) and decrease (−) of secondary-structure fractions (%) compared with those at 25°C (pure water, pH = 4.0).

Figure 8  The self-assembling peptide RADA16-I undergoes the morphological changes in response to temperature alternation. The typical AFM height images at 25°C (A), 60°C (B), 80°C (C), back to 25°C (D), and 3 days later (E), (F). The self-assembling peptide RADA16-I showed the morphological changes from good 3D nanofibers (A), to the aggregated and/or separated globular pieces (B), (C), and (D), to aggregations (E, arrow) and partly 3D nanofibers (E), (F).

up with peptide subunit association to form protofibrils and further elongated filaments or nanofibers.

The molecular species (II) contained both positive and negative charges in the peptide chain at pH 4.0. In near neutral pH, the peptide would fully be both positively and negatively charged. It is generally believed that ionic complementarity is mainly responsible for self-assembling peptides because of both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. And at pH = 7.0, the peptide is very close to its pI. We would expect a long nanofiber formation. However, little nanofiber could be ever detected (Figure 6(B)). We think that the distorted β-strand [42], β-turn and unordered structure with total 66.4% high contents of zwitterionic peptide, under simple electrolyte such as NaCl salt condition, probably contribute to either intra- or interpeptide salt bridges formation. These attractions among peptides could prevent nanofiber growth in one direction, or bend the peptides (Figure 3, IV) for global aggregation, or initiate the so called ‘impurities seeding’ process to produce small global aggregates and fibers, though there exists a considerable amount of regular β-strand (31.5%) structure at pH = 7.0–9.5.

Copyright © 2008 European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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The molecular species (III) was fully negatively charged and was derived from the first ionization and second ionization of (I) in pH 9.5 and 13.0 solutions. The peptide would be mainly negatively charged, having high reactive activity (instability), because of strong alkaline arginine and aspartic acid residues being deprotonated. We observed many smaller globular aggregates or short fibers. We believe that at these pH values, the increasing unordered structure prevent the nanofibers formation. On the other hand, the normal charge relationship along the peptide is completely disrupted to lose some complementary charged ions, causing aggregation, as opposed to β-sheet self-assembly, because of the change in active charge distribution along the peptide. In addition, the ion-complementary interaction of the intrapeptide (II) may be enough to help intrasalt bridge formation (IV). Although intrasalt bridge formation should be relatively difficult because the energy required to bend the backbone of such a short peptide should be relatively large, the existence of β-turn in pH 9.5 and 13.0 solutions should induce intrasalt bridge formation. The outside hydrophobic methyl side chains of the peptide intrasalt (IV), as a separated oil bead, held them together to lead to certain macrostructures.

Morphological Properties in Heat-Denatured Conditions

Heat could denature peptide secondary structures of peptide RADA 16-I by destroying noncovalent bonds such as hydrogen or electrostatic interactions. In extreme pH or high-temperature conditions, hydrogen or ionic interactions that have been used to stabilize the β-sheet structures would be impaired. If so, the typical β-sheet structures could be partially impaired with a decrease of 11.3% β-sheet contents as observed by CD measurement and CDPro software analyses (Table 3) from 25 to 80°C; unordered structure has about 14.6% increase. In addition, heat accelerates the Brownian motion of peptide molecules and decreases chances for peptides to associate with each other by intra- and intermolecular interactions. However, after the temperature was reduced, the peptides would be more hospitable for mutual interaction to self-assemble into nanofibers or any other nanostructure.

Although our data demonstrated that smaller sized ∼100–500 nm nanofibers or aggregates occurred in pH 4.5–9.5 solutions, strongly contrasting to those of pH 1.0–4.0, it seems to be inconsistent with the data shown in Figure 9. There are some reasons contributing to the understanding of this hemostasis: first, the blood is a buffer system to be of benefit for nanofiber formation, rather than simple electrolyte such as NaCl salt; second, the higher concentration of peptide RADA16-I such as 10 mg/ml should provide more building blocks for robust nanofibers formation; finally, the buffer ions should be of benefit for gel-network formation.

Our study found that the β-sheet structure was essential, but not sufficient, for nanofiber formation.

Figure 9  The typical AFM images of hemostatic process and hemostasis of New Zealand white rabbit middle auricular artery. The concaved disc red (black arrow) and lobulated white (star) blood cells, having 6.5–7.5 µm and 7.5–8.5 µm diameter, respectively, are shown in blood (A) and its phase image (B) as well as 10-times diluted blood (C). On adding 10 mg/ml peptide RADA16-I into the blood, these blood cells are almost covered by 3D nanofibers matrix of peptide RADA16-I (D), and only a few (D, E, black arrow) are emerged. Considering the great amount of water in blood, blood-hydrogel formation (like as jelly, white arrow) immediately appears to obstruct blood flowing out (F). Scale bars represent 20 µm.
self-assembly. Even so, there are still some other physical or chemical factors such as peptide concentration, solvents, different ions as well as buffers to influence self-assembling nanofiber formation. These problems will stimulate us to further investigate them in subsequent study.
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